REALITY

Beyond

SCIENCE

Logical & Scientific Inquiry into God's Existence

By Sayed Mohd Sadiq

Introduction

Science is one of humanity's greatest achievements. Through careful observation, experimentation, and mathematics, it has revealed the structure of atoms, the age of stars, the code of life, and history of the universe itself, and scientific tools have expanded human knowledge powerfully but,
Yet a quiet confusion exists in the modern world.
Many people no longer see science as a method of investigation, but as a complete explanation of reality itself.

Questions that were once recognized as philosophical—Why does anything exist? Why are there laws of nature? now often dismissed with the claim that "science will explain it one day," or worse, that such questions are meaningless,
This book is written to challenge that assumption.

Science is extraordinarily successful at explaining how the universe behaves. It tells us how stars form, how gravity works, how DNA replicates, and how energy transforms. But science, by its very nature, does not answer why the universe exists at all, why the laws of nature exist, or why reality is governed by order rather than chaos. These are not scientific questions because they lie outside the scope of measurement and experimentation.

Acknowledging this is not an attack on science. It is an act of intellectual honesty.

Ironically, some of the greatest scientists themselves recognized these limits. Albert Einstein spoke of the "mysterious intelligibility of the universe." Stephen Hawking admitted that science cannot explain why the universe should exist. Eugene Wigner called the effectiveness of mathematics in physics "unreasonable." These were not religious arguments they were acknowledgments of a deeper problem that science alone cannot resolve.

The central claim of this book is simple:
Science describes reality, but it does not exhaust reality.

This book does not argue that God is hidden in the gaps of scientific knowledge, waiting to be replaced by future discoveries. That is a misunderstanding often called the "God of the gaps" fallacy. Instead, this book argues that even a complete science would still leave the most fundamental questions are unanswered—because those questions are not scientific in the first place.

Why do laws of nature exist rather than no laws at all?
Why did the universe begin to exist instead of remaining nothing?
Why does information exist at the foundation of life?
Why is the human mind conscious, rational, and capable of understanding the universe?
Why do moral truths feel objective rather than invented?
Atoms do not ask questions. Equations do not create meaning. Chemistry does not generate obligation. And yet we live in a universe filled with intelligibility, consciousness, and moral awareness.

The common response today is to say that these features are illusions—byproducts of blind processes with no purpose behind them. But this response raises more problems than it solves. Denying meaning does not explain meaning away; it merely avoids explaining it.
This book takes a different approach.
It follows science as far as it can go—and then refuses to pretend it can go further than it actually can. Where science ends, philosophy begins. And where honest philosophy leads, the idea of a necessary, eternal, non-material foundation of reality becomes not only reasonable, but compelling.

You do not need to reject science to believe in God.
You do not need to abandon reason to accept transcendence.
And you do not need blind faith to recognize that reality points beyond itself.
This book is an invitation—not to abandon science—but to complete the picture it begins to draw.

Welcome to the reality beyond science.

Questions by Aethism :

1). If everything needs creator who created God?

2). If God can be Uncaused, why universe can't be Uncaused?

3). If Universe is Perfectly Designed, why is most of is lethal of Life?

4). Why did God wait 13.8 billion years before creating human?

5). If God created everything, did he Created evil ?

6). Why God don't stops Evil or wrong doing people's ?

7). If God wants belief, why hide all direct evidence?

8). What is Faith, why Religion wants Faith?

(Q. 1)

“If He was created, He would be a creature like you.

A created thing cannot create the universe.

If God had a creator → that creator also needs a creator → infinite chain

This leads to infinite regress, meaning:

Nothing could ever exist.

Something must be FIRST

That's First Cause must have:

.no beginning

.no cause

.no limitations

.full power

And That is what Islam calls [ALMIGHTY God Allah].

(Q. 2)

The Universe is physical and Depend on Laws and it Needs Caused for everything According to Science

.constants

.time

.space

.energy

If it depends on something, it is not uncaused.

The universe depends on laws and it needs caused.

(Q. 3)

The Objection assumes that a perfectly designed universe should be filled with Life Everywhere.

But, this assumption is wrong.

The Universe's purpose is to produce a stable environment where life can exist (Not where Life must filled or Exist Everywhere).

The vast universe is is required for :

-precious physical constant.

-long term stars stability.

-radiation balance.

-galaxies and solar systems formation.

-heavy elements formation in supernovae.

-cosmic expansion stability.

Without this Cosmic Conditions :

.Earth couldn't Exist.

.Life couldn't Exist.

. Water, carbon, oxygen, stars, planets couldn't exist.

So those "lethal region " are Not flaw,

They're necessary components of Fine-Tuned Cosmic Engine.

(Q. 4)

"Waiting" only applies to beings who live inside time. (Time it Self Came After Big Bang)

But God created:

time

space

matter

energy

"God didn't 'wait' 13.8 billion years — God is outside time. What looks like waiting to humans is simply the unfolding of a necessary cosmic sequence: stars must form, explode, enrich space with elements, create planets, stabilize environments, and only then can conscious life exist. The long timeline is not a delay but a design."

In Fact:-

Child growth takes 9 months

Human maturity takes years

Trees take decades

Stars take billions of years,

If God wanted, He could make everything instantly.

But good design is ordered, not chaotic.

Waiting is After Timeline Made !

(Q. 5)

Evil is Not created by God to harm any innocent, Humans use Free Will in wrong way for their benefit,ego & wrong doing.

Evil is the Result of Misusing of Free Will

Almighty God Allah has created Free Will and Humans created evil by misusing it.

Without Free Will humans would be a Robots or puppet of God, not moral beings.

And, If There is No Free Will :

So we have Right to Objection to God that we don't do Good, we are Forced to do Good.

And without Free Will we can say it is a dictatorship or We Not have Freedom from God! (We are Puppet or a Robots of God).

But, All Respect & Thanks to ALMIGHTY God he Gave us Everything and he is Most Merciful & Beneficial.

(Q. 6)

If God stopping all evil it would turn life into a puppet show, not a test or Free Will.

If every wrongdoer were instantly stopped:
Life would no longer be a test, but a controlled simulation

Then humans could rightly say:
“We didn’t choose good — we were forced to do good.”

Imagine God intervening every time someone intended harm:
Forced behavior correction
Immediate punishment

People would then say:
“God is a dictator. There is no freedom.”
So the same critics who ask “Why doesn’t God stop evil?”
would then ask “Why is God controlling everything?” (we want Free Will)

(Q. 7)

Because God wants free, meaningful belief—not coerced acknowledgment.

Sufficient evidence → allows rational choice
Overwhelming evidence → removes choice

If God appeared constantly in the sky, spoke audibly to everyone, or forced undeniable perception:
Belief would no longer be belief
It would become involuntary recognition, like seeing the sun
You don't "believe" in the sun.
You are forced to accept it.
Free Will Requires Epistemic Distance
Philosophers call this "epistemic distance" (John Hick):
God remains knowable, but not unavoidable.
God Is Hidden Enough to Allow Rejection, Visible Enough to Allow Belief
Direct Evidence Would Destroy Moral Testing

Imagine a classroom where:
The teacher gives all answers loudly
Cameras watch every move
Punishment is immediate
Is this a test of character—or compliance?
This world is a moral arena, not a surveillance prison.

God does not hide because He lacks evidence—He hides because overwhelming evidence would abolish freedom, and faith without freedom is meaningless.

(Q. 8)

Faith is a commitment to act despite uncertainty about outcomes, Not for Believe in Religion Blindly !

It is for GoodDoing Always, if it is costly also,

Do Good things don't worry about it's Reward you gonna get your best reward for your Goods (This is a Faith).

It's Oriented toward how you live

It is Choice-based Active,

Does not require optimism.

Example:

“I will act honestly even if it costs me.”

“I will do the right thing even if it fails.”

Faith is about what you do, not what you get.

Chapter 1

What Science Can Explain — and What It Cannot.

Science is a method, not a worldview.
This simple fact is often forgotten—and when it is forgotten, confusion replaces clarity. Science tells us how things behave, not why they exist. It studies patterns within reality, not the reason reality exists at all. When science is forced to answer questions it was never designed to answer, it stops being science and quietly turns into philosophy—usually without admitting it.
Understanding this distinction changes everything.

1. What Science Actually Does:

Science works by observing measurable phenomena, forming hypotheses, testing them, and describing regularities using mathematics. It is extraordinarily successful at explaining:
How gravity affects matter
How stars form and die
How DNA replicates
How energy transforms
How physical laws operate consistently
But notice something crucial:
.Science always assumes the existence of something,
– matter
– energy
– laws
– space
– time
– logic
– mathematics
Science does not explain why these exist. It starts with them.
A scientific experiment cannot explain why there is a universe to experiment on in the first place. A law of physics cannot explain why laws exist at all. And mathematics cannot explain why reality is mathematically intelligible.
These are not scientific failures. They are category limits.

There are questions stands above all others They called it as a still mysteries:
Why does anything exist at all?
Not how did things change,
not how did matter rearrange,
but why is there something rather than nothing?
Science cannot answer this question because:
It requires a universe to exist before it can operate
It studies causes within reality, not the cause of reality
It explains change, not existence itself
To say "the universe exists because of physics" is meaningless—because physics only exists if the universe already exists.
This is not controversial. It is unavoidable.

3. Laws of Nature: Description Is Not Explanation
Modern science describes reality using laws—gravity, electromagnetism, thermodynamics, quantum mechanics. These laws are not material objects. You cannot touch them, weigh them, or locate them in space.
They are abstract, mathematical, and universal.
Here is the problem:
Laws do not cause themselves
Laws do not explain their own existence
Laws do not enforce themselves
A law written on paper does nothing. A traffic law does not stop a car by itself. Likewise, the laws of nature do not explain why reality obeys them.
Science can describe how matter behaves according to laws.
It cannot explain why laws exist at all.
A universe with laws is not self-explanatory.

4. The Illusion of "Science Will Explain Everything"
A common response is:
"Science hasn't explained it yet, but it will one day."
This sounds confident—but it is not scientific. It is a belief.
To believe that science will answer all questions is not science—it is **scientism**, the belief that science is the only source of truth.
Ironically, scientism cannot be proven by science.

5. Consciousness: The Wall Science Keeps Hitting

Perhaps the clearest limit of science is consciousness.

You can describe the brain in detail:

neurons

electrical signals

chemistry

But none of this explains:

subjective experience

awareness

thought

meaning

rationality

No scientific equation explains why matter should feel like something from the inside. This is known as the hard problem of consciousness, and it remains unsolved—not because science hasn't worked hard enough, but because consciousness is not a physical quantity.

You can measure brain activity.

You cannot measure experience itself.

A purely material explanation has never bridged this gap.

6. Information: Matter Does Not Write Code

At the foundation of life lies information.

DNA is not just chemistry—it is coded instructions. Chemistry explains interactions, not symbolic meaning. Information is not created by physical laws alone. In every known case, information comes from intelligence.

This is not a religious claim. It is an observation.

Matter rearranges.

Information instructs.

Science can describe how DNA works.

It does not explain how meaningful information arises from non-intelligent processes.

7. The Unavoidable Conclusion

Science is powerful—but incomplete.

It explains:

behavior

processes

mechanisms

It does not explain:

existence

laws

consciousness

meaning

reason

moral truth

And it never claimed to.

When people say "science has replaced God," they are not making a scientific statement. They are making a philosophical assumption—one that science itself does not support.

The deeper science goes, the more it reveals something remarkable:

Reality is ordered, intelligible, mathematical, informational, and rational.

Chapter 2

The Questions Science Cannot Answer — And Why God Is the Best Explanation

Introduction: Respecting Science Without Worshipping It

Science is one of humanity's greatest achievements. It explains mechanisms, predicts outcomes, and uncovers patterns in nature with extraordinary success. But science has limits. These limits are not weaknesses—they are boundaries built into the scientific method itself.

Science answers how questions. It does not, and cannot, answer ultimate why questions. When science reaches its boundary, reason does not stop. Philosophy and logic begin.

This chapter explores the deepest unanswered questions in modern science and demonstrates—step by step—why belief in an ALMIGHTY GOD is not unscientific, but intellectually necessary.

---

1. Why Does Anything Exist at All?

Science begins with existence. Physics assumes matter and energy. Cosmology assumes spacetime. Mathematics assumes logic. But none of these explain why anything exists in the first place.

The question "Why is there something rather than nothing?" is not a scientific question because science already presupposes something. Even a so-called "quantum vacuum" is not nothing—it has laws, structure, and energy.

Logical analysis leads to three possibilities:

1. Everything came from nothing

2. The universe is self-caused

3. Reality depends on a necessary, uncaused cause

The first is logically impossible. "Nothing" has no properties and no power. The second violates causality—nothing can cause itself. Only the third option remains.

A Necessary Being, existing by its own nature, explains why contingent reality exists at all. This is not theology—it is pure metaphysics.

---

2. The Origin of the Laws of Physics

Modern science describes laws with astonishing precision. Yet it cannot answer a simple question: Where did these laws come from?

Why do mathematical equations govern reality? Why do constants fall within unimaginably narrow ranges that permit stars, chemistry, and life?

Small changes in physical constants would result in:

No galaxies

No chemistry

No life

Chance cannot explain precision. Necessity cannot explain why this set of laws exists rather than countless other possible ones.

Laws imply order. Order implies intelligence. A law without a lawgiver is a contradiction.

---

3. Consciousness: The Hard Problem

Neuroscience maps brain activity with increasing detail, yet it cannot explain subjective experience.

Why does electrical activity in neurons produce:

Awareness

Emotions

Self-identity

Meaning

No physical description of the brain contains the experience of pain, love, or choice. This is known as the Hard Problem of Consciousness.

Matter has no awareness. Combining non-conscious parts cannot logically produce consciousness.

The most coherent explanation is that consciousness originates from a fundamental conscious reality. Human minds point toward a Supreme Mind.

---

4. The Origin of Life and Biological Information

Evolution explains diversification after life exists. It does not explain how life began.

The origin of life requires:

Information-rich DNA

Error correction systems

Functional proteins

DNA is not merely chemistry—it is coded information. In every known case, information arises from intelligence. No unguided natural process has been observed generating complex, functional code.

This is not a “God of the gaps” argument. It is an inference to the best explanation based on uniform experience.

Life requires a Life-Giver.

---

5. The Mathematical Structure of Reality

Why does abstract mathematics describe physical reality with uncanny accuracy?

Humans discover equations that already govern the universe. Mathematics is not invented—it is uncovered.

If reality were accidental, this deep correspondence between mind and cosmos would be inexplicable.

Theism explains this naturally: a rational universe created by a rational source, understood by rational creatures.

---

6. Objective Moral Law

Science describes behavior but cannot explain moral obligation.

If morality were merely evolutionary preference, then injustice would be a matter of opinion. Yet humans universally recognize:

Murder is wrong

Cruelty is evil

Justice matters

Objective moral laws require a transcendent moral source. Moral duties make sense only if reality includes moral authority.

---

7. Human Rationality and the Intelligibility of the Universe

Why can the human mind understand the universe at all?

If our thoughts are the result of blind physical forces aimed only at survival, then trust in reason itself becomes irrational.

Yet science depends on reason. This creates a contradiction under naturalism.

Theism resolves it: human rationality reflects the rationality embedded in creation by an intelligent source.

---

8. Meaning, Purpose, and Truth

Science cannot answer:

What is the purpose of life?

Why truth matters?

Why meaning feels real?

Under atheistic materialism, meaning is an illusion. Under theism, meaning is foundational.

Reality behaves as if it was intended.

Conclusion: Science Leads to God, Not Away from Him

Science explains mechanisms. God explains existence.

When science reaches its limit, reason does not collapse—it points beyond the physical to the metaphysical.

The cumulative evidence supports:

A Necessary Cause

An Intelligent Law-Giver

A Conscious Source

A Moral Authority

This is not blind faith. It is logical inference.

The deeper science goes, the louder the question becomes—not whether God exists, but whether reality makes sense without Him.

Science is powerful, but it is not omniscient. Even the greatest scientists admit there are fundamental questions that science cannot answer even in principle. These are not "temporary gaps" but category-level limits.

Why Does Anything Exist at All? (Existence Itself)
What science says:
Science explains how existing things behave, not why there is existence instead of nothing.
Physics assumes matter, energy, laws
Cosmology assumes spacetime
Mathematics assumes logic
But why is there something rather than absolute nothing?
Even "quantum vacuum" is not nothing — it has laws, energy, structure.
Why God is the only rational answer:
"Nothing" cannot create "something"
Non-intelligence cannot choose existence
Eternal laws require an eternal law-giver
➡ A Necessary Being (God) explains why contingent reality exists at all.

The Origin of the Laws of Physics
What science admits:
Science describes laws, but cannot explain their origin.
Why these constants?
Why mathematical order?
Why fine-tuned to extreme precision?
Example:
Change gravity by 1 in 10^{60} → no universe
Change cosmological constant by 1 in 10^{120} → no galaxies
No physical theory explains why these values exist.
Logical conclusion:
Laws do not create themselves. Randomness does not produce precise mathematical order.

Why disbelievers (atheism / disbelief in God) are increasing nowadays?

1. Material comfort makes people feel "self-sufficient".
When life becomes easier:
Food is available
Medicine exists
Technology solves problems
People start thinking:
"I don't need God anymore."
Qur'an already said this mindset would come:
"Indeed, man becomes rebellious when he sees himself self-sufficient."
(Qur'an 96:6–7)
When people suffer, they pray.
When they are comfortable, they forget.

2. Science is misunderstood as a replacement for God.
Science explains how things work, not why they exist.
But many people wrongly think:
"Science explained it → God is unnecessary"
This is a category error.

3. Religious hypocrisy pushes people away This is painful but true.
People see:
Religious people lying, cheating, abusing
Leaders using religion for power
Double standards
So they don't reject God first —
they reject people who misrepresent God.
Islam condemns hypocrisy more harshly than disbelief.

4. Desire and ego don't like accountability
Belief in God means:
Moral limits
Accountability
Judgment
Disbelief offers:
"Do whatever you want"
"No consequences after death"
Qur'an says:
"**He follows nothing but his desire**." (45:23)
For many, disbelief is not intellectual — it's emotional and moral.

5: "The universe could be eternal"
Atheist Claim:
"An eternal universe removes the need for God."
Answer:
Even an eternal universe would still require:
Explanation for its existence
Explanation for its laws
Explanation for why it exists rather than nothing
Moreover:
Modern cosmology strongly indicates a beginning
Infinite past leads to logical paradoxes
Eternity does not equal necessity.
Only a necessary being explains existence itself.

6: "Fine-tuning is just chance or multiverse" Atheist Claim:
"Given enough universes, one like ours was bound to exist."
Answer:
The multiverse:
Has no direct evidence
Is itself finely tuned
Shifts the problem, does not solve it
Chance explanations fail due to:
Extreme improbability
Lack of mechanism
Design is not inferred from improbability alone, but from:
Specified complexity
Life-permitting precision
Intelligence is the most reasonable explanation.

(Limits of science)

Stephen Hawking (The Grand Design, 2010):
"Science can explain the laws governing the universe, but it cannot explain why those laws exist."
Albert Einstein:
"Science without religion is lame; religion without science is blind."

David Chalmers (Philosopher of Mind) – The Hard Problem of Consciousness:
Explaining brain processes does not explain subjective experience (feelings, self-awareness).

Neuroscience reality:
No neuron = "self"
No brain region = "I"
Conscious experience is not reducible to matter

- Scientific gap: Materialism cannot explain consciousness fully.

Belief in a non-material soul is philosophically reasonable, not anti-science.

Cosmology fact: The universe is finely tuned for life:
Gravitational constant
Cosmological constant
Strength of nuclear forces
If altered slightly → no life possible
Paul Davies (physicist):
"The impression of design is overwhelming."

Roger Penrose:
Probability of universe suitable for life ≈ 1 in 10^10^123

The question is not whether God explains everything —
but whether anything else explains reality better.

If there is no God, then injustice wins forever
Without God:
Hitler dies peacefully → same end as his victims
A child abuser who escapes law → no consequence
Millions suffer unjustly → no final justice
Is this “fair”?
Belief in God answers this:
No injustice is final. No tear is wasted. No crime escapes judgment.
Without God, justice is temporary and incomplete.

Worship is freedom from slavery — not slavery itself
Those who reject God still worship something:
Money
Power
Ego
Lust
Social approval
The difference:
These gods never satisfy
They destroy from inside
Worshipping ALMIGHTY God:
Frees you from fear of people
Frees you from addiction to desire
Frees you from ego worship
When you bow to God, you stand tall before everything else.

We don't worship ALMIGHTY God because we lack things —
We worship Him because without Him, life has no ultimate meaning, justice, or truth.
If God exists and we ignore Him — the loss is eternal.
If God exists and we worship Him — we gain purpose now and justice later.
And if someone says life ends at death — that is not knowledge, it is belief.
Faith in God is not escape from reason — it is reason taken seriously.

1). How Big Bang (Universe) Came to Existence?
B).What is Before Big Bang? (Universe/ Kull Kayanat).

2). You Think (Whole Universe) Big Bang came to Existence by his Own? (That's Not).

3). From Nothing (or Vaccum field) this universe came to Existence? (By his Own).

4). Why Universe Follow Laws? (you think it Follow by His Own).

5). How Universe is so Systamic not in Chaos? (You Think it is All By his Own).

6). From where All Particles and Elements came how they Appear? (You Think All it comes by his Own).

7). From Where Shadiīdt Gārm (Extremely Hot and Dense) come?

8). "Where did consciousness come from?
B). How Consciousness Exist by his Own?

9). How From All Nonliving Things LivingThing came to Life? (You Think Whole World And Ashraful Makhlukaat (Noble Human's) Came to Life All by his Own?).

10). Say why we Can't Give Life to Any Livingthing Until (ALMIGHTY GOD) Gives?

11). Who fine-tuned the universe with such perfect precision ? (it is fine-tuned by his own?)

12). "O atheist! Your denial does not harm God, nor does your belief benefit Him."
And, Look Around in a World You will found his Creation in Every Little Things!.....

Final Gift

Your Believe didn't gonna give any benefit to ALMIGHTY God ethier harm him

It's all Beneficial to you !

-In a Life Or AfterLife

And you also gonna die once your lifespan Ends by [Almighty God] like your Ancestors

-You're Not Late Until You Die's.

~Sayed Mohd Sadiq